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#### Abstract

In this paper we investigate maximum principles for functionals defined on solutions to special partial differential equations of elliptic type, extending results by Payne and Philippin. We apply such maximum principles to investigate one overdetermined problem.


## 1. Introduction.

We consider classical solutions $u=u(x)$ of the quasilinear second order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g\left(q^{2}\right) u_{i}\right)_{i}=h\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in domains $\Omega \subset R^{N}$. Here and in the sequel the subindex $i(i=1, \ldots, N)$ denotes partial differentiation with respect to $x^{i} \Gamma$ the summation convention (from 1 to $N$ ) over repeated indices is in effect $\Gamma q^{2}=u_{i} u_{i} \Gamma g$ and $h$ are two smooth functions. In order for equation (1.1) to be elliptic we suppose $g>0$ and $G>0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\xi)=g(\xi)+2 \xi g^{\prime}(\xi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Payne and Philippin [5I6I7] we derive some maximum principles for functionals $\Phi(u, q)$ defined on solutions $u$ of equation (1.1). Of course $\Gamma$ there are infinitely many choices for such functionals. In order to exploit the corresponding maximum principle for getting more information on $u$ Гnot only the functional must satisfy a maximum principle $\Gamma$ but $\Gamma$ in addition $\Gamma$ there must exist some domain $\Omega$ and some solution $u$ of (1.1) for which $\Phi(u, q)$ is a constant throughout $\Omega$. Such maximum principles are named "best possible" maximum principles ([4]). For applications of such "best possible" inequalities in fluid mechanics $\Gamma$ geometry and in other areas we refer to [4].

In [6] Payne and Philippin consider the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u, q)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{q^{2}} \frac{G(\xi)}{h(\xi)} d \xi-u \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and prove that $\Gamma i f u(x)$ satisfies the equation (1.1) then $\Phi(u, q)$ assumes its maximum value either on the boundary of $\Omega$ or when $q=0$. If $u=u\left(x^{1}\right)$ is a function

[^0]depending on one variable only and if it is a solution of (1.1) then the corresponding $\Phi(u, q)$ is a constant. In the same paper [6] ГPayne and Philippin define
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(u, q)=\frac{N}{2} \int_{0}^{q^{2}} \frac{G(\xi)}{h(\xi)} d \xi-u \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and prove that $\Gamma$ if $\Psi(u, q)$ is computed on any solution of equation (1.1) then it assumes its maximum value on the boundary of $\Omega$. In case $h$ is a nonvanishing constant and $u=u(r)$ is the radial solution of equation (1.1) satisfying $u^{\prime}(0)=0 \Gamma$ then $\Psi(u, q)$ is a constant. These results have been extended to more general equations in [7].

In Section 2 of this paper we exhibit a new class of functionals which satisfy "best possible" maximum principles. These functionals are expressed in terms of solutions to an ordinary differential equation related to (1.1).

In Section 3 we consider the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g\left(q^{2}\right) u_{i}\right)_{i}=N \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ satisfies suitable hypotheses. Assume equation (1.5) has a smooth solution $u(x)$ in a convex ringshaped domain $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ bounded externally by a (hyper) surface $\Gamma_{0}$ and internally by a (hyper) surface $\Gamma_{1}$. We show that $\Gamma$ if such a solution satisfies the following (overdetermined) boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=-c_{1}, \\
& \left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=q_{0},\left.\quad u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $q_{0}$ are positive free constants $\Gamma$ then $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ must be two concentric N-spheres. Similar problems have been investigated by several authors. In [9] Philippin and Payne discussed the equation:

$$
\left(q^{N-2} u_{i}\right)_{i}=0
$$

under the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=-1,  \tag{1.6}\\
& \left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=q_{0},\left.\quad u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=-q_{1}, \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ are free constants. They proved that if this problem is solvable then $\Omega$ must be radially symmetric. In [8] Philippin solved tha same problem in case the equation is $\Delta u=0$ and the boundary conditions are (1.6) $\Gamma$ (1.7). In [10] Porru and Ragnedda investigated the above problem when the equation is

$$
\left(q^{p-2} u_{i}\right)_{i}=0
$$

$p>1 \Gamma$ again under conditions (1.6) $\Gamma$ (1.7). The case when $\Omega$ is a bounded simple connected domain has been studied by Serrin in [12]. By using the moving plane method he has found that if $u(x)$ is a smooth solution of equation (1.1) and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0,\left.\quad u_{n}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=q_{0}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(q_{0} \neq 0\right)$ then $\Omega$ must be a sphere. The same result has been found by Weinberger [13] for the special case $\Delta u=-1$ by using a different method. Extending Weinberger's method $\Gamma$ Garofalo and Lewis [1] have solved the overdetermined problem (1.5) $\Gamma(1.8)$ allowing $u(x)$ to be a generalized solution.

## 2. Maximum principles.

Let us prove first some preliminary lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let $z(t)$ be either a strictly convex or a strictly concave $C^{2}$ function in $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ and let $\psi(s)$ be the inverse of $z^{\prime}(t)$. Then the function of $t$

$$
\int_{z^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{z^{\prime}(t)} s \psi^{\prime}(s) d s-z(t)
$$

is a constant on $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$.
Proof. The proof is trivial. If we replace $s$ by $z^{\prime}(\tau)$ in the above integral we obtain

$$
\int_{z^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}^{z^{\prime}(t)} s \psi^{\prime}(s) d s-z(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} z^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau-z(t)=-z\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

The lemma is proved.
Lemma 2.2. Let $u=u(x)$ be a smooth function satisfying $\nabla u \neq 0$ in $\Omega \subset R^{N}$, and let $\psi=\psi(s)$ be a smooth function in $(0, \infty)$. Then we have in $\Omega$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi^{2} u_{i h} u_{i h}  \tag{2.1}\\
\geq\left(\psi^{2}-\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2} q^{2}\right) q_{i} q_{i}+\left(2 \psi^{\prime} q-2 \psi\right) q_{i} u_{i}-N q^{2}+2 \psi q \Delta u
\end{gather*}
$$

where $q=|\nabla u|$ and $\psi=\psi(q)$. Furthermore, equality holds in (2.1) throughout $\Omega$ if and only if $\psi^{2}(q)=\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}$.
Proof. Let $\delta^{i h}$ be the Kronecker delta. By

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, h}^{1, N}\left(\left(\frac{\psi(q)}{q} u_{i}\right)_{h}-\delta^{i h}\right)^{2} \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows

$$
\sum_{i, h}^{1, N}\left(\frac{\psi}{q} u_{i h}+\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{q} q_{h} u_{i}-\frac{\psi}{q^{2}} q_{h} u_{i}-\delta^{i h}\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

Easy computations give

$$
\frac{\psi^{2}}{q^{2}} u_{i h} u_{i h}-\left(\frac{\psi^{2}}{q^{2}}-\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) q_{i} q_{i}-\left(2 \frac{\psi^{\prime}}{q}-2 \frac{\psi}{q^{2}}\right) q_{i} u_{i}+N-\frac{2 \psi}{q} \Delta u \geq 0
$$

where the identities $u_{i} u_{i}=q^{2} \Gamma u_{i h} u_{h}=q q_{i}$ have been used. Inequality (2.1) follows. Of course $\Gamma$ we have equality in (2.1) if and only if equality holds in (2.2) that is $\Gamma$ if and only if

$$
\left(\frac{\psi(q)}{q} u_{i}\right)_{h}=\delta^{i h}, \quad i, h=1, \ldots, N
$$

By solving the last equations we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\psi(q)}{q} u_{i}=x^{i}-x_{0}^{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{i} u_{i}=q^{2} \Gamma$ these equalities imply

$$
\psi^{2}(q)=\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}
$$

The lemma has been proved.

Corollary. If we have equality in (2.1) and if $\psi(s)$ is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing then $u(x)$ must be a radial function.
Proof. Since $\psi^{2}(q)=\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2} \Gamma$ by (2.3) we find:

$$
\nabla u=H\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right),
$$

where $H$ is an appropriate function of one variable only. The result follows.
Let us come to equation (1.1). The function $g$ is assumed to be smooth on $(0, \infty)$ and to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi)>0, \quad \lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0} g\left(\xi^{2}\right) \xi=0, \quad \lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\xi^{2}\right) \xi=\infty, \quad G(\xi)>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(\xi)$ is defined as in (1.2). The function $h$ is supposed to be smooth in $[0, \infty)$ and to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\xi)>0, \quad h^{\prime}(\xi) \geq 0 \quad \forall \xi \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $h(\xi)<0, h^{\prime}(\xi) \leq 0$ can be reduced to the case in above by changing $u$ with $-u$ in (1.1).

Define the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{N-1} g\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi\right)^{\prime}=t^{N-1} h\left(\phi^{2}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\Gamma$ if $u(r) \Gamma r=|x| \Gamma$ is a radial solution of equation (1.1) for $r_{1}<r<r_{2}$ then $\phi(t)=u^{\prime}(t)$ is a solution of (2.6) for $r_{1}<t<r_{2}$.

Lemma 2.3. Assume conditions (2.4), (2.5). Given $t_{0} \geq 0$, let $\phi(t)$ be the solution of equation (2.6) satisfying $\phi\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. If $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ is the maximal interval of existence for $\phi(t)$ then $\phi^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_{1}$. Here $t_{1}$ may be finite or $\infty$.

Proof. This lemma is probably known「but we give a proof for completeness. From the equation (2.6) and the condition $\phi\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ one finds $\phi^{\prime}(t)>0$ on the (maximal) interval $\left(t_{0}, a\right) \Gamma$ with $a \leq t_{1}$. We claim that $a=t_{1}$. By contradiction $\Gamma$ let $a<t_{1} \Gamma$ so $\phi^{\prime}(a)=0$. Since $h$ is nondecreasing $\Gamma$ the function $h\left(\phi^{2}(t)\right)$ is nondecreasing on $\left(t_{0}, a\right)$. Hence integration of (2.6) on $\left(t_{0}, t\right) \Gamma t \leq a \Gamma$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{N-1} g\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi \leq \frac{t^{N}-t_{0}^{N}}{N} h\left(\phi^{2}\right) \leq \frac{t^{N}}{N} h\left(\phi^{2}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of (2.7) into (2.6) rewritten as $G\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{t} g\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi=h\left(\phi^{2}\right)$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime} \geq \frac{1}{N} h\left(\phi^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $t=a \Gamma(2.8)$ implies $\phi^{\prime}(a)>0 \Gamma$ which contradicts the assumption $\phi^{\prime}(a)=0$. Hence $\phi(t)$ is strictly increasing on $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$.

If $t_{1}$ is finite then $\phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_{1}$ because of the maximality of the interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$. Let $t_{1}=\infty$. For $t \geq t_{0} \Gamma(2.6)$ implies

$$
\left(t^{N-1} g\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi\right)^{\prime} \geq t^{N-1} h(0)
$$

Integrating over $\left(t_{0}, t\right)$ we find

$$
g\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi \geq t\left(1-\left(\frac{t_{0}}{t}\right)^{N}\right) \frac{h(0)}{N}
$$

Taking into account conditions (2.4) The above inequality implies that $\phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The lemma is proved.

Observe that equation (1.1) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+f(q) u_{i} u_{j} u_{i j}=k(q) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(q)=2 g^{\prime}\left(q^{2}\right) / g\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $k(q)=h\left(q^{2}\right) / g\left(q^{2}\right)$. The ordinary differential equation (2.6) in terms of $f$ and $k$ reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}\left(1+\phi^{2} f(\phi)\right)+\frac{N-1}{t} \phi=k(\phi) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume conditions (2.4), (2.5). Given $t_{0} \geq 0$, let $\phi(t)$ be the solution of equation (2.10) satisfying $\phi\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, and let $\psi(s)$ be the inverse function of $\phi(t)$. If $u(x)$ is a solution of equation (2.9) such that $\nabla u \neq 0$ in $\Omega$ then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u, q)=\int_{0}^{q(x)} s \psi^{\prime}(s) d s-u(x) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

assumes its maximum value on the boundary of $\Omega$. Moreover, $\Phi(u, q)$ is a constant if $u(x)$ is the (radially symmetric) solution $u(x)=F(|x|), F^{\prime}(t)=\phi(t)$.
Proof. By Lemma $2.3 \Gamma \phi(t)$ is strictly increasing $\Gamma$ hence the second part of the theorem follows by Lemma 2.1 when $z^{\prime}(t)=\phi(t)$. For proving the first part we put

$$
v(x)=\int_{0}^{q(x)} s \psi^{\prime}(s) d s-u(x)
$$

where $u(x)$ is a solution of equation (2.9). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=\psi^{\prime}(q) q q_{i}-u_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.12) we obtain

$$
v_{i j}=\psi^{\prime}\left(q_{i} q_{j}+q q_{i j}\right)+\psi^{\prime \prime} q q_{i} q_{j}-u_{i j}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, N .
$$

From the identities $q q_{i}=u_{i h} u_{h}$ we get

$$
q_{i} q_{j}+q q_{i j}=u_{i h} u_{j h}+u_{i j h} u_{h} .
$$

Consequently Twe find

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i j}=\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{i h} u_{j h}+u_{i j h} u_{h}\right)+\psi^{\prime \prime} q q_{i} q_{j}-u_{i j} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i j}=\delta^{i j}+f(q) u_{i} u_{j}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, N, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta^{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta. In virtue of conditions (2.4) the matrix $\left[a^{i j}\right]$ is positive definite. By using (2.13) and (2.14) we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} a^{i j} v_{i j}=u_{i h} u_{i h}+f q^{2} q_{i} q_{i} \\
+a^{i j} u_{i j h} u_{h}+\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi^{\prime}} q\left(q_{i} q_{i}+f q_{i} u_{i} q_{j} u_{j}\right)-\frac{k}{\psi^{\prime}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where the equation (2.9) rewritten as $a^{i j} u_{i j}=k$ has been used. Easy computations yield

$$
a^{i j} u_{i j h}=\left(a^{i j} u_{i j}\right)_{h}-\left(a^{i j}\right)_{h} u_{i j}=k^{\prime} q_{h}-f^{\prime} q_{h} q q_{i} u_{i}-2 f u_{i h} q q_{i},
$$

where $f^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(q)$ and $k^{\prime}=k^{\prime}(q)$. Hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} a^{i j} v_{i j}  \tag{2.15}\\
=u_{i h} u_{i h}-f q^{2} q_{i} q_{i}+k^{\prime} q_{i} u_{i}-f^{\prime} q q_{i} u_{i} q_{j} u_{j}+\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi^{\prime}} q\left(q_{i} q_{i}+f q_{i} u_{i} q_{j} u_{j}\right)-\frac{k}{\psi^{\prime}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Equality (2.15) and inequality (2.1) give

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} a^{i j} v_{i j}  \tag{2.16}\\
\geq\left(1-\frac{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\psi^{2}} q^{2}\right) q_{i} q_{i}+\left(2 \frac{\psi^{\prime}}{\psi^{2}} q-\frac{2}{\psi}\right) q_{i} u_{i}-\frac{N}{\psi^{2}} q^{2}+\frac{2}{\psi} k q-\frac{2}{\psi} f q^{2} q_{i} u_{i} \\
-f q^{2} q_{i} q_{i}+k^{\prime} q_{i} u_{i}-f^{\prime} q q_{i} u_{i} q_{j} u_{j}+\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\psi^{\prime}} q\left(q_{i} q_{i}+f q_{i} u_{i} q_{j} u_{j}\right)-\frac{k}{\psi^{\prime}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the equation $\Delta u=k-f q q_{i} u_{i}$ has been used. By (2.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j} u_{j}=\frac{v_{j} u_{j}}{\psi^{\prime} q}+\frac{q}{\psi^{\prime}}, \quad q_{i} q_{i}=\left(q_{i}+\frac{u_{i}}{\psi^{\prime} q}\right) \frac{v_{i}}{\psi^{\prime} q}+\frac{1}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of (2.17) into (2.16) yields:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} a^{i j} v_{i j}  \tag{2.18}\\
\geq \frac{1}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{q^{2}}{\psi^{2}}(1-N)-\frac{2 q}{\psi \psi^{\prime}}\left(1+f q^{2}\right)+\frac{2 k q}{\psi}+\frac{k^{\prime} q}{\psi^{\prime}}- \\
-\frac{f^{\prime} q^{3}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{f q^{2}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{3}} q\left(1+f q^{2}\right)-\frac{k}{\psi^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} b^{i} v_{i}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b^{i}(\mathrm{i}=1 \Gamma \ldots \mathrm{~N})$ is a regular vector field (recall that $\Gamma$ by assumption $\left.\Gamma q(x)>0\right)$. From equation (2.10) with $t=\psi(q)$ (and $\Gamma$ consequently $\Gamma(t)=q$ ) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}}\left(1+f q^{2}\right)+\frac{N-1}{\psi} q \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using equation (2.19) Гinequality (2.18) becomes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} a^{i j} v_{i j}+\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} b^{i} v_{i}  \tag{2.20}\\
\geq \frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{3}} q\left(1+f q^{2}\right)-\frac{2 f q^{2}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime} q^{3}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{k^{\prime} q}{\psi^{\prime}}-\frac{N-1}{\psi \psi^{\prime}} q+\frac{N-1}{\psi^{2}} q^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Differentiation with respect to $t$ in equation (2.10) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}\left(1+\phi^{2} f\right)+2\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi f+\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi^{2} f^{\prime}+\frac{N-1}{t} \phi^{\prime}-\frac{N-1}{t^{2}} \phi=k^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi(q)$ is the inverse of $\phi(t) \Gamma$ we have

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}(q)}, \quad \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}(q)}{\left(\psi^{\prime}(q)\right)^{3}}
$$

Hence $\Gamma$ the equation (2.21) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k^{\prime}}{\psi^{\prime}}=-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{3}}\left(1+f q^{2}\right)+\frac{2 f q}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{f^{\prime} q^{2}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{\psi \psi^{\prime}}-\frac{N-1}{\psi^{2}} q \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of (2.22) into (2.20) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i j} v_{i j}+b^{i} v_{i} \geq 0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem follows by (2.23) and the classical maximum principle [11[2].
Remark 2.1 If equality holds in (2.23) then equality holds in (2.1) and $\overline{\text { b }}$ by the corollary to Lemma $2.2 \Gamma u(x)$ is a radial function.

Remark 2.2 If $h$ is a (positive) constant and if $t_{0}=0$ then the functional (2.11) is the same as that defined in (1.4).

In case of dimension two Theorem 2.1 can be improved. In fact $\Gamma$ we have the following

Theorem 2.2. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.1, if $N=2$ the function $v(x)=\Phi(u, q)$ defined in (2.11) assumes its maximum value and its minimum value on the boundary of $\Omega$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is the same as that of Theorem 2.1 up to equation
 equality (true for $N=2$ only $\Gamma([7])$ p. 43))

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i h} u_{i h}=(\Delta u)^{2}+2 q_{i} q_{i}-2 \Delta u q_{i} \frac{u_{i}}{q} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Delta u=k-f q q_{i} u_{i}$ Tequality (2.24) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i h} u_{i h}=\left(k-f q q_{i} u_{i}\right)^{2}+2 q_{i} q_{i}-2 k q_{i} \frac{u_{i}}{q}+2 f q_{i} u_{i} q_{j} u_{j} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of (2.25) into (2.15) and use of equations (2.17) lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} a^{i j} v_{i j}  \tag{2.26}\\
=\left(k-\frac{f q^{2}}{\psi^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-\frac{3 k}{\psi^{\prime}}+\frac{f q^{2}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \\
+\frac{k^{\prime} q}{\psi^{\prime}}-\frac{f^{\prime} q^{3}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime} q}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{3}}\left(1+f q^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} d^{i} v_{i}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $d^{i}(\mathrm{i}=1 \Gamma 2)$ is a regular vector field. By equation (2.19) with $N=2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{\psi^{\prime}} f q^{2}+\frac{1}{\psi} q . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k^{\prime}}{\psi^{\prime}}=-\frac{\psi^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{3}}\left(1+f q^{2}\right)+\frac{2 f q}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{f^{\prime} q^{2}}{\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\psi \psi^{\prime}}-\frac{q}{\psi^{2}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of (2.27) and (2.28) into (2.26) leads to

$$
a^{i j} v_{i j}+d^{i} v_{i}=0 .
$$

The theorem follows by classical maximum principles [112].

## 3. An overdetermined problem.

Throughout this section we assume $\Omega \subset R^{N}$ to be a smooth ringshaped domain bounded externally by a (hyper) surface $\Gamma_{0}$ and internally by a (hyper) surface $\Gamma_{1}$. We also suppose $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ enclose convex domains $\Omega_{0}$ and $\Omega_{1} \Gamma$ respectively. In $\Omega$ we investigate the following (overdetermined) problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g\left(q^{2}\right) u_{i}\right)_{i}=N \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=-c_{1},  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left.u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=q_{0},\left.\quad u_{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=0, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $q_{0}$ are two positive free constants and the subindex $n$ denotes normal external differentiation. The function $g(\xi)$ is assumed to satisfy conditions (2.4). According to (1.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(s^{2}\right)=\frac{d}{d s}\left(g\left(s^{2}\right) s\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested only in smooth solutions of equation (3.1) whose gradient is nonvanishing in $\Omega$.

Theorem 3.1. If (2.4) holds then problem (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) is solvable if and only if $q_{0}, c_{1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s<c_{1}<\int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}$ are two suitable concentric $N$-spheres.
Proof. The ordinary differential equation (2.6) corresponding to our partial differential equation (3.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{N-1} g\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi\right)^{\prime}=\left(t^{N}\right)^{\prime} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $\alpha \geq 0$ and assume $\phi(\alpha)=0$. Integrating (3.6) over $(\alpha, t)$ we obtain

$$
g\left(\phi^{2}\right) \phi=t\left(1-\alpha^{N} t^{-N}\right)
$$

Taking into account conditions (2.4) one concludes that $\phi(t)$ is defined on $(\alpha, \infty)$. If $\psi(s)$ denotes the inverse function of $\phi(t)$ then by the last equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(s^{2}\right) s=\left(1-\alpha^{N} \psi^{-N}\right) \psi \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}=\frac{G\left(s^{2}\right) \psi^{N}}{\psi^{N}+(N-1) \alpha^{N}}, \quad \psi(0)=\alpha \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose problem (3.1) $\Gamma$ (3.2) $\Gamma$ (3.3) has a regular solution $u=u(x)$. Let us consider the function $\psi(s)$ defined by (3.7) when $\alpha=0$. We find $\psi(s)=g\left(s^{2}\right) s$ and $\psi^{\prime}(s)=G\left(s^{2}\right)$. By Theorem 2.1Гthe function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=\int_{0}^{q(x)} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s-u(x) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

attains its maximum value on $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{0}$. On $\Gamma_{1}$ and on $\Gamma_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=q G\left(q^{2}\right) q_{n}-u_{n} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subindex $n$ Гas before $\Gamma$ denotes normal external differentiation. Equation (3.1) rewritten in normal coordinates reads as

$$
G\left(q^{2}\right) q_{n}+g\left(q^{2}\right)(N-1) K u_{n}=N
$$

where $K$ is the mean curvature of the corresponding level surface. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ is smooth and since $u_{n}=-q=0$ on $\Gamma_{1} \Gamma$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(q^{2}\right) q_{n}=N \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $v_{n}$ vanishes at each point in $\Gamma_{1}$. Hence $\Gamma$ by Hopf's second principle $\Gamma$ $v(x)$ cannot take its maximum value on $\Gamma_{1}$ unless it is a constant in $\Omega$. Consequently $\Gamma$ such a maximum value is attained in $\Gamma_{0}$. Using conditions (3.2) $\Gamma$ (3.3) and comparing the values of $v$ on $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using again conditions (3.2) $\Gamma(3.3)$ we obtain

$$
v(x) \leq \int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s
$$

from which it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v(x) d x \leq\left(\left|\Omega_{0}\right|-\left|\Omega_{1}\right|\right) \int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand Ffrom equation (3.1) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N}\left(x^{j} u_{j} g u_{i}-g q^{2} x^{i}+(N-1) u g u_{i}\right)_{i}=\frac{x^{i}}{N}\left(g q q_{i}-\left(g q^{2}\right)_{i}\right)+x^{i} u_{i}+(N-1) u \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Green's formula as well as conditions (3.2) $\Gamma(3.3)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(x^{j} u_{j} g u_{i}-g q^{2} x^{i}+(N-1) u g u_{i}\right)_{i} d x=0 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
g q q_{i}-\left(g q^{2}\right)_{i}=-(g q)_{i} q=-\left(\int_{0}^{q} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s\right)_{i}
$$

we find

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{x^{i}}{N}\left(g q q_{i}-\left(g q^{2}\right)_{i}\right) d x=-\int_{\Omega} \frac{x^{i}}{N}\left(\int_{0}^{q} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s\right)_{i} d x .
$$

By using again Green's formula the boundary conditions (3.3) and the well known equation

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{0}} x^{i} n^{i} d s=N\left|\Omega_{0}\right|
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{x^{i}}{N}\left(g q q_{i}-\left(g q^{2}\right)_{i}\right) d x=-\int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s\left|\Omega_{0}\right|+\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{q} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s\right) d x \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using once more Green's formula and the boundary conditions (3.2) we find

$$
\int_{\Omega} x^{i} u_{i} d x=-c_{1} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} x^{i} n^{i} d s-N \int_{\Omega} u d x
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{1}} x^{i} n^{i} d s=-N\left|\Omega_{1}\right|
$$

the previous equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} x^{i} u_{i} d x=c_{1} N\left|\Omega_{1}\right|-N \int_{\Omega} u d x \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration in (3.14) and use of (3.15) $\Gamma(3.16) \Gamma(3.17)$ and (3.9) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v(x) d x=\int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s\left|\Omega_{0}\right|-c_{1} N\left|\Omega_{1}\right| \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.13) and (3.18) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{q_{0}} s G\left(s^{2}\right) d s \leq c_{1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If equality were to occur in (3.12) then equality would occur in (3.13) and in (3.19) $\Gamma$ a contradiction. Hence we must have strict inequality in (3.12) and in (3.19). Inequalities (3.5) have been proved.

Now let us consider the function $\psi(s)$ defined by (3.7) for $\alpha>0$ Гand discuss the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{q_{0}} s \psi^{\prime}(s) d s=c_{1} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.7) with $\alpha=0$ we find $s \psi^{\prime}(s)=s G\left(s^{2}\right)$. Since $\psi(0)=\alpha$ and since $\psi(s)$ is increasing $\Gamma$ for $s$ fixed $\Gamma \psi \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence $\Gamma$ by (3.7) we infer that when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ then $\frac{\alpha}{\psi(s)} \rightarrow 1$. Hence $\Gamma$ by (3.8) it follows that

$$
s \psi^{\prime}(s) \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} s G\left(s^{2}\right) \text { as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty
$$

Therefore $\Gamma$ since $s \psi^{\prime}(s)$ decreases as $\alpha$ increases $\Gamma$ and since $q_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ satisfy inequalities (3.5) $\Gamma$ there is a unique positive $\alpha$ which solves equation (3.20). By using this value of $\alpha$ let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=\int_{0}^{q(x)} s \psi^{\prime}(s) d s-u(x) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In virtue of conditions (3.2) $\Gamma(3.3)$ and (3.20) $\Gamma$ the function $v(x)$ assumes the same value on $\Gamma_{0}$ and on $\Gamma_{1}$. If $N=2$ then Theorem 2.2 implies that $v(x)$ is a constant in $\Omega$. For general $N \Gamma$ let us compute the normal derivative of $v$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}=q \psi^{\prime}(q) q_{n}-u_{n} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (3.8) with $s=q$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime} q_{n}=\frac{\psi^{N}}{\psi^{N}+(N-1) \alpha^{N}} G\left(q^{2}\right) q_{n} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.23) and (3.11) $\Gamma$ recalling that $\psi(0)=\alpha$ we find that $\psi^{\prime}(q) q_{n}=1$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. Hence $v_{n}$ vanishes on $\Gamma_{1}$. Since $v(x)$ satisfies the elliptic inequality (2.23) $\Gamma$ by Hopf's second principle $v(x)$ must be a constant in $\Omega$. Because $v(x)$ is a constant we have equality in (2.23). Then $\Gamma$ by Remark $2.1 \Gamma u(x)$ must be a radial function. Consequently $\Gamma$ taking into account conditions (3.2) $\Gamma \Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ must be N -spheres.

Now suppose $q_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ satisfy (3.5). Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be the $N$-sphere whose radius $r_{1}$ is equal to the value of $\alpha$ which solves equation (3.20) $\Gamma$ and let $\Gamma_{0}$ be the $N$-sphere concentric with $\Gamma_{1}$ whose radius $r_{0}$ satisfies the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(q_{0}^{2}\right) q_{0} r_{0}^{N-1}=r_{0}^{N}-r_{1}^{N} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\psi(s)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(s^{2}\right) s=\left(1-r_{1}^{N} \psi^{-N}\right) \psi \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strictly increasing in ( $0 \Gamma \infty$ ) and satisfies the conditions $\psi(0)=r_{1}$ and (by (3.24)) $\psi\left(q_{0}\right)=r_{0}$. Its inverse function $s=\phi(r)$ satisfies equation (3.6) with $t=r$. The theorem has been proved.

If $N=2$ and $g\left(q^{2}\right)=q^{p-2} \Gamma p>1 \Gamma$ then equation (3.1) is related to the torsion problem [355]. In this caseГconditions (3.5) read as

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) q_{0}^{p}<c_{1}<\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) q_{0}^{p}
$$

Equation (3.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{p-1} \psi=\psi^{2}-\alpha^{2} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we find

$$
\psi=\frac{1}{2}\left(s^{p-1}+\left(s^{2 p-2}+4 \alpha^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

The radius $r_{1}$ of the circle $\Gamma_{1}$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\frac{p-1}{2} \int_{0}^{q_{0}}\left(s^{p-1}+s^{2 p-2}\left(s^{2 p-2}+4 r_{1}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) d s=c_{1}
$$

The radius $r_{0}$ of the circle $\Gamma_{0}$ is given by

$$
r_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{0}^{p-1}+\left(q_{0}^{2 p-2}+4 r_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

The solution $u(r)$ is

$$
u(r)=\int_{r_{0}}^{r}\left(t-r_{1}^{2} t^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d t
$$
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