

Lecture note 10. Itô's formula, proof.

Let us repeat the formulation of Theorem 9.1:

Theorem 9.1. *Let $X_t, t \geq t_0$, be a stochastic process with stochastic differential*

$$dX_t = f(t, \omega) dt + g(t, \omega) dW_t, \tag{9.5}$$

with random functions $f(t, \omega), g(t, \omega)$ determined by the past of the Wiener process, with $|f(t, \omega)|, |g(t, \omega)| \leq C < \infty$, mean-square continuous except at finitely many points, and with mean-square one-sided limits at these points; let the function $F(t, x)$ be once continuously differentiable in t and twice in x , and suppose that the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}$ are bounded and uniformly continuous. Then almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, X_t) - F(t_0, X_{t_0}) &= \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot f(s, \omega) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) \cdot g(s, \omega)^2 \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot g(s, \omega) dW_s. \end{aligned} \tag{9.17}$$

If we prove the theorem under these restrictions, we can also prove it in a more general situation, with the random functions $f(t, \omega), g(t, \omega)$ not being uniformly bounded, but only square integrable, and the function $F(t, x)$ having unbounded derivatives (only supposing that all integrals in (9.17) make sense. Indeed, we can introduce the random functions

$$f_C(t, \omega) = \begin{cases} f(t, \omega) & \text{if } -C \leq f(t, \omega) \leq C, \\ -C & \text{if } f(t, \omega) < -C, \\ C & \text{if } f(t, \omega) > C, \end{cases} \tag{10.1}$$

$$g_C(t, \omega) = \begin{cases} g(t, \omega) & \text{if } -C \leq g(t, \omega) \leq C, \\ -C & \text{if } g(t, \omega) < -C, \\ C & \text{if } g(t, \omega) > C \end{cases} \tag{10.2}$$

(make a picture). It is clear that $|f_C(t, \omega)| \leq |f(t, \omega)|, |g_C(t, \omega)| \leq |g(t, \omega)|$. As $C \rightarrow \infty$, we have for every t and every $\omega \in \Omega$:

$$f_C(t, \omega) \rightarrow f(t, \omega), \quad g_C(t, \omega) \rightarrow g(t, \omega). \tag{10.3}$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.i.m.}_{C \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t g_C(s, \omega) dW_s &= \int_{t_0}^t g(s, \omega) dW_s, \\ \text{l.i.m.}_{C \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot g_C(s, \omega) dW_s &= \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot g(s, \omega) dW_s, \end{aligned} \tag{10.4}$$

and similar statements hold for Riemann integrals in (9.17) and in the equality $X_t - X_{t_0} = \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \omega) ds + \int_{t_0}^t g(s, \omega) dW_s$, which is the meaning of the equality (9.5).

To prove (10.4), we have to establish that

$$\text{l.i.m.}_{C \rightarrow \infty} g_C(t, \omega) = g(t, \omega). \quad (10.5)$$

This is not the same as (10.3), and we know that, generally, almost-sure convergence, and even convergence for all ω , does not imply convergence in the square mean. But in this concrete case we can use the dominated-convergence theorem (Theorem 2.2). We have to prove that

$$\lim_{C \rightarrow \infty} E((g_C(t, \omega) - g(t, \omega))^2) = 0. \quad (10.6)$$

The random variable under the expectation sign (the square) converges to 0 as $C \rightarrow \infty$; and these random variables are dominated, for all C :

$$(g_C(t, \omega) - g(t, \omega))^2 \leq 4g(t, \omega)^2, \quad (10.7)$$

the dominating random variable $4g(t, \omega)^2$ having finite expectation. So we have (10.6).

As for why the conditions we imposed on the derivatives $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}$ can also be eliminated:

If we “cut” the function F at the levels $-C$ and C , the result will be a non-smooth function (draw a picture, or look at your old one). So we use another way. Let $h_C(x)$ be a twice continuously differentiable function that is equal to 1 for $|x| \leq C$, and to 0 for $|x| \geq C + 1$; e. g.,

$$h_C(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq C, \\ 1 - 10(|x| - C)^3 + 15(|x| - C)^4 - 6(|x| - C)^5, & C \leq |x| \leq C + 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq C + 1. \end{cases} \quad (10.8)$$

Let us take

$$F_C(t, x) = F(t, x) \cdot h_C(x). \quad (10.9)$$

These functions are smooth, and the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial t}(t, x) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, x) \cdot h_C(x), & \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial x}(t, x) &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x) \cdot h_C(x) + F(t, x) \cdot h'_C(x), \\ \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial x}(t, x) &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t, x) \cdot h_C(x) + 2 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(t, x) \cdot h'_C(x) + F(t, x) \cdot h''_C(x) \end{aligned} \quad (10.10)$$

are bounded and uniformly continuous; and as $C \rightarrow \infty$, the function F_C and its derivatives not only converge to F and its derivatives, but even are equal to them for sufficiently large C (for $C \geq |x|$).

Now let's go to the

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Since both Riemann and stochastic integrals are limits of integrals of step functions, it's enough to prove the statement for $f(t, \omega)$ and $g(t, \omega)$ in the stochastic differential being step random functions:

$$f(t, \omega) = \sum_i Y_i(\omega) \cdot I_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t), \quad g(t, \omega) = \sum_i Z_i(\omega) \cdot I_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t), \quad (10.11)$$

where the random variables Y_i, Z_i are determined by the past of the Wiener process up to time t_{i-1} : $Y_i = Y_i(W_s, s \leq t_{i-1})$, and the same for Z_i . (You can see that I dropped the custom of taking the first interval *with* its left end: $[t_0, t_1]$ rather than $(t_0, t_1]$. This is because we have established that the value of the integrand at one point does not affect the stochastic integral – and we have known this for ages about Riemann integrals.)

The left-hand side of (9.17) can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, X_t) - F(t_0, X_{t_0}) &= [F(t_1, X_{t_1}) - F(t_0, X_{t_0})] + [F(t_2, X_{t_2}) - F(t_1, X_{t_1})] + \dots \\ &\quad + [F(t_{j-1}, X_{t_{j-1}}) - F(t_{j-2}, X_{t_{j-2}})] + [F(t, X_t) - F(t_{j-1}, X_{t_{j-1}})], \end{aligned} \quad (10.12)$$

where t_{j-1} is the last point of the partition that is smaller than t . So what we need to prove is that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} F(t_i, X_{t_i}) - F(t_{i-1}, X_{t_{i-1}}) &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot Y_i + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) \cdot Z_i^2 \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) \cdot Z_i dW_s, \end{aligned} \quad (10.13)$$

or the same with i replaced with j and t_i with t .

In general, we want to prove that if for some $t_0 \leq c < d$

$$X_t = X_c + Y \cdot (t - c) + Z \cdot (W_t - W_c), \quad c \leq t \leq d, \quad (10.14)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} F(d, X_d) - F(c, X_c) &= \int_c^d \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) ds + Y \cdot \int_c^d \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) ds \\ &\quad + Z \cdot \int_c^d \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \cdot \int_c^d \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (10.15)$$

Let us take a partition \mathfrak{S} (capital Gothic “S”) of the interval from c to d with partition points $s_0 = c < s_1 < \dots < s_n = d$ (note that it gets a little elaborate: the points c and d used to be some partition points of our original partition \mathfrak{T} ; so we have a partition within a partition: \mathfrak{S} within \mathfrak{T}). We have:

$$F(d, X_d) - F(c, X_c) = \sum_{i=1}^n [F(s_i, X_{s_i}) - F(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}})]. \quad (10.16)$$

For the i -th difference we'll use a Taylor expansion based at the point $(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}})$; only, in contrast with Lecture note 9, where we wrote approximate equalities exploring the problem, we are going to write precise equalities with the derivatives taken at some intermediate points:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(s_i, X_{s_i}) - F(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}}) &= [F(s_i, X_{s_i}) - F(s_{i-1}, X_{s_i})] + [F(s_{i-1}, X_{s_i}) - F(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}})] \\
&= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s_i^*, X_{s_i}) \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1}) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}}) \cdot (X_{s_i} - X_{s_{i-1}}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) \cdot (X_{s_i} - X_{s_{i-1}})^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{10.17}$$

where s_i^* is some point between s_{i-1} and s_i , and x_i^* between $X_{s_{i-1}}$ and X_{s_i} . Using formula (10.14), we can replace $X_{s_i} - X_{s_{i-1}}$ with $Y \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1}) + Z \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})$. Then the right-hand side of (10.17) is expressed as the sum of 6 summands (after we open the outer parentheses in $(Y \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1}) + Z \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}}))^2$). So the increment (10.16) is represented as the sum of six sums over i . We are going to prove that these six sums converge (as $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (s_i - s_{i-1}) \rightarrow 0$) to the following limits:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s_i^*, X_{s_i}) \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1}) \rightarrow \int_c^d \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) ds, \tag{10.18}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}}) \cdot Y \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1}) \rightarrow \int_c^d \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot Y ds, \tag{10.19}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}}) \cdot Z \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}}) \rightarrow \int_c^d \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot Z dW_s, \tag{10.20}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) \cdot Y^2 \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1})^2 \rightarrow 0, \tag{10.21}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) \cdot Y Z \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1})(W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}}) \rightarrow 0, \tag{10.22}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) \cdot Z^2 \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2 \rightarrow \int_c^d \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) \cdot Z^2 ds. \tag{10.23}$$

Then the limit passage proves (10.15).

In what probabilistic sense are we going to prove that the limits (10.18)–(10.23) take place? For some of them, almost surely, for some, in the mean squares; but this is OK, because convergence in probability serves as “the common denominator” for both these types of convergence.

The sum in (10.18) is not a Riemann sum for the integral in the right-hand side; but we can do without it. The function $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ is uniformly continuous, so for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|s - s'| < \delta, |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, x) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s', y) \right| < \varepsilon$. Let us define a step function

$$h_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(s, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s_i^*, X_{s_i}) \cdot I_{(s_{i-1}, s_i]}(s); \quad (10.24)$$

the difference of both sides of (10.18) is equal to

$$\int_c^d \left[h_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) \right] ds. \quad (10.25)$$

For every $\omega \in \Omega$ such that the trajectory $X_t(\omega)$ is continuous (for every non-exceptional ω , the exceptional ones forming a set of zero probability) for the positive δ introduced above there exists a positive $\delta' \leq \delta$ such that $|s - s'| < \delta' \Rightarrow |X_s(\omega) - X_{s'}(\omega)| < \delta$. For such ω , for every partition with $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (s_i - s_{i-1}) < \delta'$ we have:

$$\left| h_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \left| \int_c^d \left[h_{\mathfrak{G}}^*(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) \right] ds \right| < \varepsilon \cdot (d - c). \quad (10.26)$$

This proves (10.18), almost surely.

The same way, using the uniform continuity of $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$, (10.19) is proved, only the difference of the integrals has to be multiplied by $Y(\omega)$ (which is $\leq C$ in absolute value).

In (10.20), we want to establish mean-square convergence. Let us introduce

$$h_{\mathfrak{G}}(s, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s_{i-1}, X_{s_{i-1}}) \cdot I_{(s_{i-1}, s_i]}(s); \quad (10.27)$$

the difference of both sides of (10.20) is equal to

$$\int_c^d Z \cdot \left[h_{\mathfrak{G}}(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \right] dW_s, \quad (10.28)$$

and the expectation of the square of this difference is equal to

$$\int_c^d E(Z^2 \cdot (h_{\mathfrak{G}}(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s))^2) ds. \quad (10.29)$$

Let a positive δ be chosen as above with $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$ replaced by $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$. We have almost surely

$$\lim_{\delta' \downarrow 0} \max_{|s-s'| \leq \delta'} |X_s(\omega) - X_{s'}(\omega)| = 0. \quad (10.30)$$

From almost-sure convergence convergence in probability follows, so there exists a positive $\delta' \leq \delta$ such that the probability of the event

$$A_{\delta, \delta'} = \left\{ \max_{|s-s'| \leq \delta'} |X_s(\omega) - X_{s'}(\omega)| \geq \delta \right\} \quad (10.31)$$

is less than ε . We have for $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (s_i - s_{i-1}) < \delta'$:

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z^2 \cdot (h_{\mathfrak{S}}(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s))^2) &= E(I_{A_{\delta, \delta'}} \cdot Z^2 \cdot (h_{\mathfrak{S}}(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s))^2) \\ &\quad + E(I_{A_{\delta, \delta'}^c} \cdot Z^2 \cdot (h_{\mathfrak{S}}(s, \omega) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s))^2) \quad (10.32) \\ &\leq C^2 [\varepsilon \cdot 4 (\sup |\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}|)^2 + \varepsilon^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Since this expression can be made arbitrarily small (we could have managed to take our previous estimates so that it would be less than ε exactly), we have the convergence (10.20).

Limits (10.21), (10.22) are very easy:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{Y^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1})^2 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C^2 \cdot (\sup |\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*)|)^2}{2} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (s_i - s_{i-1}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i - s_{i-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (10.33)$$

which is some constant times $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (s_i - s_{i-1})$, and goes to 0;

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| Y Z \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1})(W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}}) \right| \\ & \leq \text{const} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}}| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i - s_{i-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (10.34)$$

and this goes to 0 almost surely (for those ω for which the trajectory $W_t(\omega)$ of the Wiener process is continuous).

The most complicated is (10.23).

We have already considered a smaller partition \mathfrak{S} within a larger, \mathfrak{T} (even if they were partitions of different time intervals, \mathfrak{S} of only a small interval $[c, d]$). Now we are going to consider two partitions of the same interval $[c, d]$: a larger one, \mathfrak{U} (capital Gothic "U"), with partition points $u_0 = c < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_m = d$, and a smaller one, \mathfrak{S} , with all partition points u_j of the larger partition included in the smaller one: $u_j = s_{i_j}$. So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c = u_0 = s_0 &< s_1 < \dots < s_{i_1} = u_1 < s_{i_1+1} < \dots < s_{i_2-1} < s_{i_2} = u_2 < s_{i_2+1} < \dots \\ &\dots < s_{i_m-1} < s_{i_m} = u_m = d. \end{aligned} \quad (10.35)$$

Let us take together the summands in the sum (10.23) corresponding to the same interval from u_{j-1} to u_j . Then we can write the difference of both sides in (10.23) as

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left[\sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2 - \int_{u_{j-1}}^{u_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) ds \right]. \quad (10.36)$$

If we change in this formula the arguments in $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}$ to $u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left[\sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2 - \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \cdot (u_j - u_{j-1}) \right], \quad (10.37)$$

and the $\| \cdot \|_2$ -norm of this random variable is less or equal than

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{C^2 \cdot \left(\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} \right| \right)^2}{2} \cdot \sqrt{E \left(\left(\sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2 - (u_j - u_{j-1}) \right)^2 \right)}. \quad (10.38)$$

We have calculated the expectation under the square root sign: it is equal to $2 \sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} (s_i - s_{i-1})^2$ (see formula (4.16)). This sum goes to 0 as the partition \mathfrak{S} becomes infinitely small, and the sum (10.37) converges to 0 in the mean square.

To get that (10.36) also converges to 0, we have to prove that the differences between the corresponding terms in (10.36) and in (10.37):

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \right] \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2, \quad (10.39)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{u_{j-1}}^{u_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \right] ds \quad (10.40)$$

converge to 0 almost surely, or in the mean squares.

The sum (10.40) is easy. We choose a $\delta > 0$ so that $|s - s'| < \delta, |x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, x) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s', y) \right| < \varepsilon$. For almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists a positive $\delta' \leq \delta$ such that $|s - s'| < \delta' \Rightarrow |X_s(\omega) - X_{s'}(\omega)| < \delta$; and for such ω , if $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (s_i - s_{i-1}) < \delta'$, we have:

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{u_{j-1}}^{u_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \right] ds \right| \leq \frac{C^2}{2} \cdot \varepsilon. \quad (10.41)$$

This means that the sum (10.40) converges to 0 almost surely.

For (10.39), we prove mean-square convergence. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \right] \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2 \right\|_2 \\
& \leq \frac{C^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \left\| \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \right) \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2 \right\|_2 \\
& = \frac{C^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \sqrt{E\left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}})\right)^2\right) \cdot E\left((W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^4\right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.42}$$

By Schwarz's inequality, the expectation under the square root sign is less or equal than

$$\sqrt{E\left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}})\right)^4\right)} \cdot \sqrt{E\left((W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^8\right)}. \tag{10.43}$$

The expectation of the eighth power of a normal random variable with parameters $(0, s_i - s_{i-1})$

$$E\left((W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^8\right) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot (s_i - s_{i-1})^4. \tag{10.44}$$

As for $E\left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}})\right)^4\right)$, we estimate it just as in the proof of (10.20) (see formula (10.32)): for $\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} (u_j - u_{j-1})$ less than some positive δ' we have:

$$E\left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}})\right)^4\right) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \left(2 \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} \right| \right)^4 + \varepsilon^4. \tag{10.45}$$

So for such partitions \mathfrak{U} , and partitions \mathfrak{S} with some partition points s_i added

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=i_{j-1}+1}^{i_j} \frac{Z^2}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s_{i-1}, x_i^*) - \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(u_{j-1}, X_{u_{j-1}}) \right] \cdot (W_{s_i} - W_{s_{i-1}})^2 \right\|_2 \\
& \leq \frac{C^2}{2} \left(\varepsilon \cdot \left(2 \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} \right| \right)^4 + \varepsilon^4 \right)^{1/4} \cdot (105)^{1/4} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i - s_{i-1}) \\
& = \text{const} \cdot \left(\varepsilon \cdot \left(2 \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} \right| \right)^4 + \varepsilon^4 \right)^{1/4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.46}$$

This proves our statement, the last one in the theorem we wanted to prove.

We have proved our Itô's formula under some restrictions; let us formulate the result under minimum restrictions (but we are not going to give the proof; its ideas are outlined at the beginning of this lecture note):

Theorem 10.1. *Let X_t , $t \geq t_0$, be a stochastic process with stochastic differential*

$$dX_t = f(t, \omega) dt + g(t, \omega) dW_t, \tag{10.47}$$

with random functions $f(t, \omega)$, $g(t, \omega)$ determined by the past of the Wiener process, $f(t, \omega)$ Riemann integrable, $g(t, \omega)$ with finite $\int_{t_0}^t E(g(t, \omega)^2) ds$; let the function $F(t, x)$ be once continuously differentiable in t and twice in x , and suppose that

$$\int_{t_0}^t E\left(\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) \cdot g(s, \omega)\right)^2\right) ds < \infty. \quad (10.48)$$

Then almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, X_t) - F(t_0, X_{t_0}) &= \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(s, X_s) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot f(s, \omega) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(s, X_s) \cdot g(s, \omega)^2 \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(s, X_s) \cdot g(s, \omega) dW_s. \end{aligned} \quad (10.49)$$