

Lecture note 21. Diffusion processes as Markov processes.

Another class of examples of Markov processes is diffusion processes, in the case that the stochastic equation defining them

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dW_t, \quad X_{t_0} = x_0 \quad (21.1)$$

has a unique solution.

How is this proved (for definiteness, let it be a one-dimensional diffusion process)?

We have to prove that for every t_0, x_0 , for every $t \geq t_0$ and $s > t$, and for every $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

$$P\{X_s^{t_0, x_0} \in C \mid X_u^{t_0, x_0}, t_0 \leq u \leq t\} = \mu_{t, X_t^{t_0, x_0}, s}(C), \quad (21.2)$$

where $\mu_{t, x, s}(C)$ is some function of $t < s, x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$: the transition function.

If we replace in (21.2) t_0 with t , and x_0 with x , the conditioning random variables reduce to one, X_t , and that one identically equal to the prescribed initial point x ; so we have:

$$\mu_{t, x, s}(C) = P\{X_s^{t, x} \in C\}. \quad (21.3)$$

So what we have to prove is that

$$P\{X_s^{t_0, x_0} \in C \mid X_u^{t_0, x_0}, t_0 \leq u \leq t\} = P\{X_s^{t, x} \in C\} \Big|_{x=X_t^{t_0, x_0}}. \quad (21.4)$$

We obtain $X_s^{t_0, x_0}$ by solving our stochastic equation for the times $\geq t_0$ with the initial condition $X_{t_0}^{t_0, x_0} = x_0$; but we can also obtain it solving the same stochastic equation for times $\geq t$, with the initial condition $X_t^{t_0, x_0}$ at time t . This can be written as

$$X_s^{t_0, x_0} = X_s^{t, x} \Big|_{x=X_t^{t_0, x_0}}. \quad (21.5)$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the random variable $X_s^{t, x}$ is a functional of the Wiener process W_u on the time interval from t to s ; what is more, it depends only on the differences $W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq s$. This is because in all stochastic integrals we handle only differences $W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}}$. So we have:

$$X_s^{t, x} = f(t, x, s; W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq s), \quad (21.6)$$

f being some functional.

The random variable $X_t^{t_0, x_0}$ is also represented as some functional of the Wiener process, but on the time interval from t_0 to t :

$$X_t^{t_0, x_0} = g(t_0, x_0, t; W_u, t_0 \leq u \leq t) \quad (21.7)$$

(we could also have written it as a functional of the differences $W_u - W_{t_0}$). So we can write:

$$X_s^{t_0, x_0} = f(t, g(t_0, x_0, t; W_u, t_0 \leq u \leq t), s; W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq s). \quad (21.8)$$

The conditional probability (21.4) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} P\{f(t, g(t_0, x_0, t; W_u, t_0 \leq u \leq t), s; W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq s) \in C \mid X_u, t_0 \leq u \leq t\} \\ = E(h(g(t_0, x_0, t; W_u, t_0 \leq u \leq t), W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq s) \mid X_u, t_0 \leq u \leq t), \end{aligned} \quad (21.9)$$

where

$$h(x, W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq s) = I_C(f(t, x, s; W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq s)). \quad (21.10)$$

Now, the two random objects (two collections of random variables) $W_u, t_0 \leq u \leq t$, and $W_u - W_t, t \leq u \leq t$, are independent; and we can use the following

Lemma. *If the random objects Y, Z are independent, g an arbitrary function, h a bounded one, then*

$$E(h(g(Y), Z) \mid Y) = E(h(x, Z)) \Big|_{x=g(Y)}. \quad (21.11)$$

This proves (21.4).