

Lecture 13. Convergence of sequences of random variables. Laws of large numbers.

Theorem 13.1. *We have $\eta_n \rightarrow_P \zeta$ if and only if from every sequence n_k , $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we can extract a subsequence n_{k_j} , $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, such that*

$$\eta_{n_{k_j}} \rightarrow \zeta \quad \text{almost surely} \quad (j \rightarrow \infty). \quad (13.1)$$

Proof. The “only if” part is just Theorem 12.2 applied to the subsequence η_{n_k} , which clearly converges in probability to ζ . Let us prove the “if” part.

Suppose that from every subsequence η_{n_k} we can extract an almost surely convergent subsubsequence $\eta_{n_{k_j}} \rightarrow^{\text{a.s.}} \zeta$, but $\eta_n \not\rightarrow_P \zeta$. This means that for some positive ε_0

$$P\{|\eta_n - \zeta| \geq \varepsilon_0\} \not\rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (13.2)$$

This, in its turn, means that there exists a positive δ and a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ such that

$$P\{|\eta_{n_k} - \zeta| \geq \varepsilon_0\} \geq \delta, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (13.3)$$

By what we supposed, from the sequence n_k , $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we can extract a subsequence n_{k_j} , $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, such that (13.1) holds. By Theorem 12.1 we get $\eta_{n_{k_j}} \rightarrow_P \zeta$, and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P\{|\eta_{n_{k_j}} - \zeta| \geq \delta\} = 0$. But this contradicts (13.3).

The properties of *almost-sure* convergence are very easy to derive: e. g. that a finite-valued almost-sure limit of η_n exists if and only if $\eta_n - \eta_m \rightarrow 0$ almost surely as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$: we simply disregard the zero-measure set of ω 's at which $\eta_n - \eta_m \not\rightarrow 0$, and for all other ω 's a finite $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n(\omega)$ exists (how we define the limiting random variable on the exceptional zero-measure set does not matter).

An example of handling convergence *in probability*:

Theorem 13.2. *Let $\eta_n \rightarrow_P \zeta$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). If f is a continuous function, then $f(\eta_n) \rightarrow_P f(\zeta)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).*

Proof: Proof 1. We have to prove that for every positive ε and δ for sufficiently large n

$$P\{|f(\eta_n) - f(\zeta)| \geq \varepsilon\} < \delta. \quad (13.4)$$

First we take a constant C such that

$$P\{|\zeta| > C\} < \delta/2. \quad (13.5)$$

Now, on the interval $[-C - 1, C + 1]$ the function f is *uniformly* continuous; so there exists a positive γ such that for every $x, y \in [-C - 1, C + 1]$, $|x - y| < \gamma$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon. \quad (13.6)$$

Let us take $\gamma < 1$.

For sufficiently large n ,

$$P\{|\eta_n - \zeta| \geq \gamma\} < \delta/2 \quad (13.7)$$

Then, using the set inclusion

$$\{\omega: |f(\eta_n(\omega)) - \zeta(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{\omega: |\zeta(\omega)| \geq C\} \cup \{\omega: |\zeta(\omega)| < C, |\eta_n(\omega) - \zeta(\omega)| \geq \gamma\}, \quad (13.8)$$

we obtain (13.4).

Proof 2: By Theorem 13.1, it is sufficient to prove that from every sequence of natural numbers $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ we can select a subsequence n_{i_k} such that

$$f(\eta_{n_{i_k}}) \rightarrow f(\zeta) \quad \text{a. s. .} \quad (13.9)$$

A subsequence for which

$$\eta_{n_{i_k}} \rightarrow \zeta \quad \text{a. s. ,} \quad (13.10)$$

which exists by the other part of the same theorem, will do.

Let us have some results about convergence of sequences of random variables in terms of *moments*. Before doing this, we return to some more elementary things in our course.

Theorem 13.3: the Chebyshev(-type) inequality. *Let ξ be a random variable with values in (X, \mathcal{X}) . If $f(x)$ is a measurable function on X with nonnegative values (i. e., with values in $[0, \infty)$ – or in $[0, \infty]$), we have for every $a > 0$:*

$$P\{f(\xi) \geq a\} \leq \frac{Ef(\xi)}{a}. \quad (13.11)$$

Proof. Let η be the random variable defined by

$$\eta = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } f(\xi) \geq a, \\ 0 & \text{if } f(\xi) < a. \end{cases} \quad (13.12)$$

It is clear that $\eta(\omega) \leq f(\xi(\omega))$ for all ω , so

$$E\eta = a \cdot P\{f(\xi) \geq a\} \leq Ef(\xi). \quad (13.13)$$

The inequality that Chebyshev proved (not that there was much to prove) and used, which we'll call *Chebyshev's inequality* (whose generalization is inequality (13.11)), is

$$P\{|\xi - E\xi| \geq b\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\xi)}{b^2}, \quad b > 0, \quad (13.14)$$

where $\text{Var}(\xi)$ is the variance of the random variable ξ : $\text{Var}(\xi) = E(\xi - E\xi)^2$.

This is a particular case of (13.11) with $f(x) = (x - E\xi)^2$, $a = b^2$.

Theorem 13.4. *If for some even k the moment*

$$E(\eta_n - \zeta)^k \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (13.15)$$

then $\eta_n \rightarrow_P \zeta$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Proof. By the Chebyshev inequality (13.11) with $f(x) = x^k$, $a = \varepsilon^k$, we have, for every positive ε :

$$P\{|\eta_n - \zeta| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{E(\eta_n - \zeta)^k}{\varepsilon^k} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (13.16)$$

Theorem 13.5. *If for some even k we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(\eta_i - \zeta)^k < \infty, \quad (13.17)$$

then $\eta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Proof 1. If we have a convergent series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ with nonnegative summands, there exists another convergent series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a'_i$ whose terms converge to 0 slower than a_i : that is, there exists a sequence of positive numbers $b_i \rightarrow 0$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$) such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i/b_i < \infty$. (In the general spirit of this course, I am giving no proof of this fact, which is not about probability theory, and even not about measure theory; the proof is simple, in fact.) So there exists a sequence of positive numbers $b_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(\eta_i - \zeta)^k}{b_i^k} < \infty. \quad (13.18)$$

By the Chebyshev inequality (13.11), we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\{|\eta_i - \zeta| \geq b_i\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E(\eta_i - \zeta)^k}{b_i^k} < \infty. \quad (13.19)$$

By the first Borel–Cantelli Lemma, almost surely only finitely many of the events $\{|\eta_i - \zeta| \geq b_i\}$ will occur: for all ω except for a set of probability 0 we have $|\eta_i(\omega) - \zeta(\omega)| < b_i$ for all i with exception of a finite number of i 's. Since $b_i \rightarrow 0$, convergence $\eta_n(\omega) \rightarrow \zeta(\omega)$ will hold for all such ω 's.

Proof 2. Let us consider the following random variable taking values in the extended right half-line $[0, \infty]$:

$$\Xi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\eta_i - \zeta)^k. \quad (13.20)$$

We have:

$$E\Xi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(\eta_i - \zeta)^k < \infty. \quad (13.21)$$

It follows from this that almost surely $\Xi < \infty$ ($\Xi(\omega) < \infty$ except for a set of ω 's of zero probability), the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\eta_i - \zeta)^k$ converges almost surely. We know that the n -th term of a convergent series goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$; so almost surely

$$(\eta_n - \zeta)^k \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (13.22)$$

from which $\eta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ almost surely.

Everybody who hasn't studied probability theory has heard about *the law of large numbers*: what exactly it is, they don't know, but they know that it is some law related to probability theory. People with more mathematical skills can be more precise: some *theorem* in probability theory.

This is not entirely true. In fact, *the Law of Large Numbers* is a common name for a whole *group* of theorems of probability theory. Their formulations differ from one another, but share the general pattern:

Let a sequence of random variables $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ be such that expectations $E\xi_i$ exist; let such and such conditions be satisfied (the conditions are different in different theorems belonging to this group). *Then*

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} - \frac{E\xi_1 + \dots + E\xi_n}{n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (13.23)$$

where the long arrow \longrightarrow denotes some type of convergence of random variables.

If the random variables ξ_i have all the same distribution (are *identically distributed*), (13.23) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} \longrightarrow E\xi_i \quad (n \rightarrow \infty); \quad (13.24)$$

but there are theorems of this group in which the random variables ξ_i have different distributions, and different expectations.

We introduced two types of convergence: convergence in probability, and almost sure convergence, the first being weaker than the second; accordingly we have *weak* laws of large numbers, in which (13.23) means

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} - \frac{E\xi_1 + \dots + E\xi_n}{n} \rightarrow_P 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (13.25)$$

and *strong* laws of large numbers that state that under certain conditions

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} - \frac{E\xi_1 + \dots + E\xi_n}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a. s.} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (13.26)$$

In most of laws of large numbers the conditions that we haven't yet specified include the requirement that $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ should be independent; but there are some such laws that are formulated for dependent random variables.

Let us start with some theorems belonging to the group of *weak* laws of large numbers.

Theorem 13.6 (Bernoulli's Theorem). *Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ be a sequence of independent random variables taking values 0 and 1 with probabilities $1 - p$ and p . Then*

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} \rightarrow_P p \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (13.27)$$

We will not be proving this theorem now, but better formulate a more general one belonging to the same group:

Theorem 13.7 (Chebyshev's Theorem). *Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ be a sequence of independent (not necessarily identically distributed) random variables with finite expectations and variances. Let the sequence of variances be bounded:*

$$\text{Var}(\xi_i) \leq C = \text{const} < \infty \quad (13.28)$$

for all natural n . Then (13.25) is satisfied.

Before we prove this theorem, let me formulate another one of the same group:

Khinchin's Theorem (proved in the 1930's). *Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with finite expectation $E\xi_i$. Then*

$$\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} \rightarrow_P E\xi_i \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (13.29)$$

Clearly Bernoulli's Theorem is a particular case of both Chebyshev's and Khinchin's Theorem. We'll prove Khinchin's Theorem later; and we'll prove Chebyshev's Theorem in the next lecture.

The following wasn't in Lecture # 13; but I'm including it in this lecture note.

Proof of Chebyshev's Theorem. We apply Theorem 13.4 with $k = 2$ (that is, the classical Chebyshev's inequality) to the random variables $\eta_n = \frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} - \frac{E\xi_1 + \dots + E\xi_n}{n}$ and $\zeta \equiv 0$. We have:

$$E\left(\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} - \frac{E\xi_1 + \dots + E\xi_n}{n}\right)^2 = \text{Var}\left(\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i - E\xi_i)\right)^2. \quad (13.30)$$

Opening the parentheses, we get:

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i - E\xi_i)\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n E(\xi_i - E\xi_i)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} E((\xi_i - E\xi_i) \cdot (\xi_j - E\xi_j)). \quad (13.31)$$

By Theorem 9.1, the expectations with $i \neq j$ are equal to 0, and the variance of the sum of independent random variables is equal to the sum of their variances. By (13.28), we have:

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n}\right) \leq \frac{n \cdot C}{n^2} = \frac{C}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (13.32)$$

from which convergence in probability follows.