

**Lecture 18. Weak convergence of distributions.**

Theorem 17.5, in particular, allows us to prove (17.26) in another, easier way: we take a random variable  $\zeta$  having the standard normal distribution (i. e., with parameters  $(0, 1)$ ); then  $\xi_n = \sqrt{b_n} \cdot \zeta + a_n$  has the normal distribution with parameters  $(a_n, b_n)$ , and  $\eta = \sqrt{b} \cdot \zeta + a$  the normal distribution with parameters  $(a, b)$ . So we have to prove:

$$Ef(\sqrt{b_n} \cdot \zeta + a_n) \rightarrow Ef(\sqrt{b} \cdot \zeta + a). \quad (18.1)$$

We have for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ :

$$\xi_n(\omega) = \sqrt{b_n} \cdot \zeta(\omega) + a_n \rightarrow \eta(\omega) = \sqrt{b} \cdot \zeta(\omega) + a; \quad (18.2)$$

so by Theorem 17.5 we have  $\mu_{\xi_n} \rightarrow_w \mu_\eta$ .

In our examples we had discrete distributions converging to discrete ones, and continuous to continuous ones; but it is possible for a sequence of discrete distributions to converge to a continuous one (see the next example), and the opposite is also possible (see Problem [39](#)).

Example: Let  $\mu_n$  be the mixture of unit measures concentrated at the  $n + 1$  points  $0, 1/n, 2/n, \dots, k/n, \dots, (n - 1)/n, 1$  with weights  $1/(n + 1)$  each:

$$\mu_n(C) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \delta_{k/n}(C), \quad (18.3)$$

where

$$\delta_{k/n}(C) = \begin{cases} 1, & C \ni k/n, \\ 0, & C \not\ni k/n. \end{cases} \quad (18.4)$$

Let us prove that the weak limit  $\nu$  of this sequence of measures (distributions) is the uniform distribution on the interval  $[0, 1]$ :

$$\nu(C) = \int_C I_{[0, 1]}(x) dx = \lambda_1(C \cap [0, 1]). \quad (18.5)$$

For an arbitrary bounded continuous function  $f(x)$  we have:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mu_n(dx) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad (18.6)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \nu(dx) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx \quad (18.7)$$

(of course, (18.6) holds not only for continuous bounded functions, but for *all* functions).

The sum (18.6) is the Riemann sum for the integral if we take the partition of the interval  $[0, 1]$  by the points  $x_k = \frac{k}{n+1}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n+1$ , and choose in each interval  $[\frac{k}{n+1}, \frac{k+1}{n+1}]$  the point  $\frac{k}{n}$  (this point is indeed in this interval:  $\frac{k}{n+1} \leq \frac{k}{n} \leq \frac{k+1}{n+1}$ ); and we have:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mu_n(dx) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \cdot \left[\frac{k+1}{n+1} - \frac{k}{n+1}\right] \rightarrow (\text{Riemann}) \int_0^1 f(x) dx. \quad (18.8)$$

But, as we know, the (proper) Riemann integral, if it exists, coincides with the Lebesgue integral with respect to the Lebesgue measure; so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mu_n(dx) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \nu(dx) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (18.9)$$

for every bounded continuous function  $f(x)$ , and  $\mu_n \rightarrow_w \nu$ .

**Theorem 18.1.** *Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n, \dots$ , be a sequence of probability distributions in the real line;  $\nu$  a probability distribution. Let  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_n(x), \dots, G(x)$  be the corresponding distribution functions:*

$$F_k(x) = \mu(-\infty, x], \quad G(x) = \nu(-\infty, x], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (18.10)$$

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = G(x) \quad (18.11)$$

for all  $x$  belonging to a set  $K$  that is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , then  $\mu_n \rightarrow_w \nu$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $f(x)$  be a bounded continuous function. We have to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mu_n(dx) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \nu(dx). \quad (18.12)$$

This means that for every positive  $\varepsilon$  there exists a natural  $n_*$  such that for every  $n \geq n_*$

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \nu(dx) \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (18.13)$$

Let  $\|f\| = \sup_x |f(x)|$ .

We know that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} G(x) = 0$ . This means, in particular, that there exist such negative  $a$  and positive  $b$  that

$$G(a) < \frac{\varepsilon}{8\|f\|}, \quad G(b) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8\|f\|}. \quad (18.14)$$

Without restriction of generality, we can assume that  $a, b \in K$ : indeed, if, say,  $a \notin K$ , we can replace it by an  $a' < a$  such that  $a' \in K$ , and  $G(a') \leq G(a) = \nu(-\infty, a] < \varepsilon/8\|f\|$  (or  $b' > b$ ,  $b' \in K$ ,  $G(b') \geq G(b) > 1 - \varepsilon/8\|f\|$ ,  $\nu(b, \infty) < \varepsilon/8\|f\|$ ).

Now, on the interval  $[a, b]$  the continuous function  $f(x)$  is *uniformly* continuous; and there exists a positive  $\delta$  such that from  $x, y \in [a, b]$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta$  it follows that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ . Let us divide the interval  $[a, b]$  into  $N$  intervals of length less than  $\delta$  by the points  $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{k-1} < x_k < \dots < x_{N-1} < x_N = b$ .

Again, *without restriction of generality* we can assume that all  $x_k \in K$ : otherwise we can move each  $x_k$  a little so that it is in  $K$  now, but still its distances from its neighbors  $x_k - x_{k-1}$ ,  $x_{k+1} - x_k$  are still  $< \delta$ .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{(-\infty, \infty)} f(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{(-\infty, \infty)} f(x) \nu(dx) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{(-\infty, a]} |f(x)| \mu_n(dx) + \int_{(-\infty, a]} |f(x)| \nu(dx) \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{(a, b]} f(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{(a, b]} f(x) \nu(dx) \right| \\ & \quad + \int_{(b, \infty)} |f(x)| \mu_n(dx) + \int_{(b, \infty)} |f(x)| \nu(dx). \end{aligned} \tag{18.16}$$

The first, second, fourth, and fifth summands in the right-hand side are not greater than, respectively,  $\|f\| \cdot \mu_n(-\infty, a] = \|f\| \cdot F_n(a)$ ,  $\|f\| \cdot \nu(-\infty, a] = \|f\| \cdot G(a) < \varepsilon/8$ ,  $\|f\| \cdot \mu_n(b, \infty) = \|f\| \cdot [1 - F_n(b)]$ ,  $\|f\| \cdot \nu(b, \infty) = \|f\| \cdot [1 - G(b)] < \varepsilon/8$ .

To estimate the third summand in the right-hand side of (18.16), let us choose a point  $y_k$  in each interval  $(x_{k-1}, x_k]$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , and define a function  $\tilde{f}(x)$  on the interval  $(a, b]$  by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(y_k) \quad \text{for } x \in (x_{k-1}, x_k] \tag{18.17}$$

(draw a picture).

By the choice of our  $\delta > 0$ , we have for all  $x \in (a, b]$ :

$$|f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \tag{18.18}$$

and from this,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{(a, b]} f(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{(a, b]} \tilde{f}(x) \mu_n(dx) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \\ & \left| \int_{(a, b]} f(x) \nu(dx) - \int_{(a, b]} \tilde{f}(x) \nu(dx) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}. \end{aligned} \tag{18.19}$$

Now, the third summand in the right-hand side of (18.16) is not greater than

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{(a, b]} f(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{(a, b]} \tilde{f}(x) \mu_n(dx) \right| + \left| \int_{(a, b]} f(x) \nu(dx) - \int_{(a, b]} \tilde{f}(x) \nu(dx) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{(a, b]} \tilde{f}(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{(a, b]} \tilde{f}(x) \nu(dx) \right| \\ & < \frac{2\varepsilon}{8} + \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \int_{(x_{k-1}, x_k]} \tilde{f}(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{(x_{k-1}, x_k]} \tilde{f}(x) \nu(dx) \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{18.20}$$

The  $k$ -th summand here is equal to

$$|f(y_k)| \cdot |\mu_n(x_{k-1}, x_k] - \nu(x_{k-1}, x_k]| = |f(y_k)| \cdot |F_n(x_k) - F_n(x_{k-1}) - G(x_k) + G(x_{k-1})|. \quad (18.21)$$

Now, for every  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq N$ , we can find a natural  $n_k$  so that for  $n \geq n_k$  we have:

$$|F_n(x_k) - G(x_k)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8(N+1)\|f\|}. \quad (18.22)$$

If we take  $n_* = \max(n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$ , for  $n \geq n_*$  all inequalities (18.22) hold.

In particular, for  $k = 0$ , from which we get for  $n \geq n_*$ :

$$F_n(a) = F_n(x_0) < G(a) + \frac{\varepsilon}{8(N+1)\|f\|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8\|f\|} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8(N+1)\|f\|}; \quad (18.23)$$

similarly,

$$1 - F_n(b) < 1 - G(b) + \frac{\varepsilon}{8(N+1)\|f\|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8\|f\|} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8(N+1)\|f\|}. \quad (18.24)$$

So the first and the fourth summand in the right-hand side of (18.16) are less than  $\frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8(N+1)}$ .

By inequality (18.22) for  $k$  and for  $k-1$ , the expression (18.21) is less than  $\frac{2\varepsilon}{8(N+1)}$ .

Putting together formulas (18.16), (18.20) and the estimates obtained, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{(-\infty, \infty)} f(x) \mu_n(dx) - \int_{(-\infty, \infty)} f(x) \nu(dx) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{8(N+1)} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{8} + N \cdot \frac{2\varepsilon}{8(N+1)} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (18.25)$$

which was to be proved.

Let me formulate another theorem, sort of inverse to Theorem 18.1.

**Theorem 18.2.** *Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n, \dots$  be a sequence of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $\nu$  a distribution on it; let  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_n(x), \dots, G(x)$  be the corresponding distribution functions:  $F_n(x) = \mu_n(-\infty, x]$ ,  $G(x) = \nu(-\infty, x]$ .*

*If  $\mu_n \rightarrow_w \nu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $F_n(x) \rightarrow G(x)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) for all  $x$  that are continuity points of the function  $G$ .*

I'll give the proof of this theorem in the next lecture; but in the meantime, let us take Theorems 18.1 and 18.2 together:

**Theorem 18.3.** *A sequence of distributions  $\mu_n$  converges weakly to a probability distribution  $\nu$  if and only if the sequence of the corresponding distribution functions  $F_n(x) = \mu_n(-\infty, x]$  converges to the distribution function  $G(x) = \nu(-\infty, x]$  at all points  $x$  at which the limiting function  $G$  is continuous; and also if and only if there*

*exists a set  $K$  that is dense in  $(-\infty, \infty)$  such that the convergence  $F_n(x) \rightarrow G(x)$  takes place for all  $x \in K$ .*

The only thing that remains to be **proved** here is that automatically the set of points at which the distribution function  $G(x)$  is continuous is dense in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

But this follows from the fact that discontinuities of a monotone function cannot be anything other than jumps, and the number of its jumps is at most countable; the complement of a countable set in the real line is always dense.