

Lecture 36. End of the proof of Kolmogorov's Theorem. Reformulations.

Let us finish the proof of Kolmogorov's Theorem.

For every natural n we choose, by Theorem 35.3, a compact set $K_n \subseteq C_n$ such that $\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(C_n \setminus K_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$. These sets are clearly non-empty (because $\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(K_n) = \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(C_n) - \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(C_n \setminus K_n) > 0$). We want to use the well-known fact that the intersection of an infinite non-increasing sequence of non-empty compact sets is non-empty.

Unfortunately, the sequence of sets K_n is absolutely *not* non-increasing: to begin with, all these sets are in spaces of different dimensions: $K_n \subset X^n$. But the sequence of sets $B_n = \{x_\bullet : (x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \in K_n\}$, which are in the same space X^T , is not necessarily non-decreasing either (in contrast with the sequence $A_n = \{x_\bullet : (x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \in C_n\}$). To make this right, we take the sequence of events (sets in X^T)

$$B'_n = B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_n. \tag{36.1}$$

We have, obviously, $B'_1 = B_1 \supseteq B'_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq B'_n \supseteq \dots$, and all these (infinite-dimensional) sets are non-empty:

$$\begin{aligned} P(B'_n) &= P(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots \cap B_n) \geq P(A_1 \cap A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i \setminus B_i) \\ &= P(A_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(C_i \setminus K_i) > \varepsilon - \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon/2^i = \varepsilon/2^n > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{36.2}$$

Every set B'_n is represented as $B'_n = \{x_\bullet : (x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \in K'_n\}$, where

$$K'_n = K_n \cap (K_{n-1} \times X) \cap (K_{n-2} \times X^2) \cap \dots \cap (K_1 \times X^{n-1}). \tag{36.3}$$

The set K'_n is a compact set as the intersection of one compact set K_n and $n - 1$ closed ones, $K_i \times X^{n-i}$.

Now for every n we consider the sequence $K''_{n,n} = K'_n, K''_{n,n+1}, K''_{n,n+2}, \dots$, where the set $K''_{n,i}, i \geq n$, is the projection of the infinite-dimensional set B'_n onto the coordinates number t_1, \dots, t_n :

$$K''_{n,i} = \{(x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) : x_\bullet \in B'_n\}. \tag{36.4}$$

Clearly, the sets $K''_{n,i}, i \geq n$, form a non-increasing sequence.

We can also represent $K''_{n,i}$ as the projection of the i -dimensional set onto the first n coordinates:

$$K''_{n,i} = \{(x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) : (x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}, x_{t_{n+1}}, \dots, x_{t_i}) \in K'_i\}. \tag{36.5}$$

Clearly all these sets are compact as projections of compact sets.

Now, the one-dimensional set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} K''_{1,i}$ is non-empty. Choose a point $x_{t_1}^*$ in this intersection.

Since this point belongs to all projections of the sets B'_i onto the one-dimensional space, for every $i > 1$ there exists a point in K'_i with the first coordinate $x_{t_1}^*$. This means that the intersection $K'_i \cap \{(x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) : x_{t_1} = x_{t_1}^*\}$ is a non-empty i -dimensional set. This set is compact as the intersection of a compact set K'_i and an $(i - 1)$ -dimensional hyperplane, which is a closed set. Also non-empty and compact are their 2-dimensional projections $K''_{2,i} \cap \{(x_{t_1}^*, x_{t_2}) : x_{t_2} \in X\}$.

Now choose a point $(x_{t_1}^*, x_{t_2}^*)$ belonging to all sets $K''_{2,i}$, $i \geq 2$; then a point $(x_{t_1}^*, x_{t_2}^*, x_{t_3}^*)$ belonging to all projections $K''_{3,i}$; etc. We obtain an infinite sequence $x_{t_1}^*, x_{t_2}^*, x_{t_3}^*, \dots, x_{t_n}^*, \dots$. Then we take a function $x_{\bullet}^* \in X^T$ taking the prescribed values at the points $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots$; at the points $t \neq t_n$ (if there are left any) we define this function arbitrarily. The function x_{\bullet}^* belongs to all sets B'_n .

Indeed, the set B'_n consists of all functions x_{\bullet} for which the n -dimensional point $(x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \in K'_n$, and $(x_{t_1}^*, \dots, x_{t_n}^*)$ does belong to the set $K''_{n,n} = K'_n$.

So the set $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B'_n$ is non-empty, and with it also a larger set $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$.

The theorem is proved.

The above proof is that given originally by Kolmogorov, and can be read in his book *Foundations of the Theory of Probability*, New York, Chelsea Pub. Co., 1950.

Let us look at other formulations of the same theorem; we'll need some of them.

First of all, the theorem remains true for the case of the space (X, \mathcal{X}) being not a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^r with its Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}^r , but for X being a Borel subset of \mathcal{R}^r , and \mathcal{X} the class of its Borel subsets: $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{B}_X = \{A : A \in \mathcal{B}^r, A \subseteq X\}$. I did not formulate Kolmogorov's Theorem with an arbitrary Borel $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$ because I wanted to keep the formulation as simple as possible. The only place where what (X, \mathcal{X}) is matters is Theorem 35.4; and here we can extend an arbitrary measure m on \mathcal{B}_X to the whole \mathcal{B}^m by defining $\tilde{m}(C) = m(C \cap X)$.

In particular, Kolmogorov's Theorem is true for the case of a countable (finite or infinite) X and \mathcal{X} being the σ -algebra of all its subsets (we can put a countable set isomorphically into a Euclidean space); i. e. for discrete distributions. For this case, we can reformulate the consistency conditions (35.2), (35.3) in terms of probability mass functions $p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}\{(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$:

$$p_{t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_n}}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (36.6)$$

$$p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{x_{n+1}} p_{t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}}(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}). \quad (36.7)$$

We can also rewrite the consistency conditions for distributions with densities $p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$: the first condition has the same form (36.6) as in the discrete case (but the meaning of the function $p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in it is quite different); and instead of (36.7) we get

$$p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_X p_{t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}}(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) dx_{n+1}. \quad (36.8)$$

Then, if we know the finite-dimensional distribution $\mu_{2,3,5}$, we know also the distributions $\mu_{2,5,3}$, $\mu_{3,2,5}$, etc. for all permutations; so why not restrict ourselves to *one* of these distributions, and obtain the remaining $n! - 1$ automatically? But which of the orders t_1, \dots, t_n to choose as the basic one? If there is *order* in the parameter set T , it's easy: we choose the order for which $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$. So for the case of $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$ we can reformulate our Theorems 35.1, 35.2 for a smaller collection of distributions μ_{t_1, \dots, t_n} with $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ (or $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ in Theorem 35.1). Of course we don't need any consistency condition of the type (35.2): we cannot permute the points $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ keeping them in the same increasing order. But instead of the consistency condition (35.3) we'll have *several* conditions.

Indeed, in the condition (36.3) we added one new time moment t_{n+1} – putting it at the end of the sequence t_1, \dots, t_n . But the moment we add is not necessarily greater than all previous ones; so instead of one condition (35.3) we'll have $n + 1$ conditions: for the case that the new time moment being smaller than all previous ones; for the case that the new time moment is the second smallest; ...; and finally for the case that it is larger than all others:

$$\mu_{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}}(X \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_{n+1}) = \mu_{t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}}(C_2 \times \dots \times C_{n+1}), \quad (36.9_1)$$

.....

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n}(C_1 \times \dots \times C_{i-1} \times X \times C_{i+1} \times \dots \times C_{n+1}) \\ = \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n}(C_1 \times \dots \times C_{i-1} \times C_{i+1} \times \dots \times C_{n+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (36.9_i)$$

.....

$$\mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n, t_{n+1}}(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n \times X) = \mu_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(C_1 \times \dots \times C_n), \quad (36.9_{n+1})$$