

**Lecture 15. More forms of the Markov property. The local zero – one law.**

We wrote several forms of the Markov property: (14.12), (14.18) (with respect to the family of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq t}$ ), (14.23) (with respect to an arbitrary non-decreasing family  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ); we can write (14.23) in the form with integrals:

$$E(f(\xi_u) \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = P^{u-t} f(\xi_t) \quad (15.1)$$

almost surely, for every  $f \in \mathbf{B}$  (or for every  $f \in \mathbf{C}$  if the process moves in a metric space). To write a more general form of the Markov property, we'll need something from the set-theoretic introduction to the theory of stochastic processes.

Let us introduce a further restriction on the class of process we consider: we'll assume that for every  $h \geq 0$  and every  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists a unique  $\theta_h \omega \in \Omega$  such that

$$\xi_t(\theta_h \omega) = \xi_{t+h}(\omega) \quad (15.2)$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ .

This requirement is satisfied if the space  $\Omega$  and the function  $\xi_t(\omega)$  are such as we took in the proof of Kolmogorov's Theorem (Theorem 2008.35.2). Namely, it was  $\Omega = X^T$  (in our case,  $X^{[0, \infty)}$ ), the space of all functions  $\omega = x_\bullet : [0, \infty) \mapsto X$  (i. e., functions on  $[0, \infty)$  with values in  $X$ ); and  $\xi_t(\omega) = \xi_t(x_\bullet) = x_t$ , the value of the function (sample point)  $x_\bullet$  at the time point  $t$ . In this case, of course,  $\theta_h \omega = \theta_h x_\bullet = x_{h+\bullet}$ , that is the function (element of  $X^{[0, \infty)}$ ) whose value at the point  $t$  is  $(\theta_h x_\bullet)(t) = x_{h+t}$ .

However we know that it's pretty inconvenient sometimes to work with the space of *all* functions, when a trajectory can be an arbitrary, very irregular, function; sometimes we restrict ourselves to dealing with processes whose all trajectories are continuous; or right-continuous; or right-continuous with limits on the left at each positive time; etc. If  $\Omega$  is the space of all continuous functions  $x_\bullet : [0, \infty) \mapsto X$ , or of all right-continuous functions, or of all right-continuous with left limits, and  $\xi_t(\omega) = \xi_t(x_\bullet) = x_t$ , then the operators  $\theta_h$  are also defined (because the sample space  $\Omega$  goes into itself under the shift  $x_{h+t}$ ). So this is not a very restrictive requirement – if we don't want to consider processes with too exotic properties of their trajectories.

The inverse-image operators  $\theta_h^{-1}$  can be applied to *events*  $A \subseteq \Omega$  (and in general, to subsets  $A \subseteq \Omega$ ):

$$\theta_h^{-1} A = \{\omega : \theta_h \omega \in A\}. \quad (15.3)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\theta_h^{-1} \{\xi_t \in C\} = \{\xi_{h+t} \in C\}, \quad C \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (15.4)$$

$$\theta_h^{-1} \{(\xi_{t_1}, \dots, \xi_{t_n}) \in C_n\} = \{(\xi_{h+t_1}, \dots, \xi_{h+t_n}) \in C_n\}, \quad C_n \in \mathcal{X}^n. \quad (15.5)$$

It follows from this that  $\theta_h^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{[0, \infty)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{[h, \infty)}$ ; that is, for every event  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{[0, \infty)} = \mathcal{F}_{\geq 0} = \sigma(\xi_t, t \geq 0)$  its inverse image  $\theta_h^{-1} A$  belongs to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{[h, \infty)} = \mathcal{F}_{\geq h}$ .

In other words, the mapping  $\theta_h: \Omega \mapsto \Omega$  is  $(\mathcal{F}_{\geq h}, \mathcal{F}_{\geq 0})$ -measurable (see Lecture 2008.3).

**Theorem 15.1.** *If  $\xi_t, t \geq 0$ , is a Markov process with transition function  $P(t, x, C)$  with respect to a non-decreasing family of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , then for every event  $A = \{(\xi_{t_1}, \dots, \xi_{t_n}) \in C_n\}, C_n \in \mathcal{X}^n$ , we have almost surely:*

$$P(\theta_h^{-1}A | \mathcal{F}_h) = P_{\xi_h}(A) \quad (15.6)$$

(where  $P_x(A)$  is the probability evaluated under the assumption that the process starts from the point  $x$  at time 0 – see Lecture 2, page 2 of the lecture note).

The *proof* is, essentially, that of Theorem 2008.32.5 of the previous semester. The difference is only that we did not introduce the notation  $P_x$  then; and that instead of an arbitrary non-decreasing family  $\mathcal{F}_t$  of  $\sigma$ -algebras we considered the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq t}$ . But repeating the old proof with the new  $\sigma$ -algebras does the trick.

One of the details in the proof was that the probability  $P_x(A)$  (denoted then as  $f_C(x)$ ) is  $\mathcal{X}$ -measurable in  $x$  for every event  $A$  of the form  $A = \{(\xi_{t_1}, \dots, \xi_{t_n}) \in C_n\}, C_n \in \mathcal{X}^n$  (see the proof in Lecture Note 2008.33).

**Theorem 15.2.** *For every event  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq 0}$  the probability  $P_x(A)$  is  $\mathcal{X}$ -measurable in  $x$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the class of all events  $A$  for which  $P_x(A)$  is measurable;  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\lambda$ -class. The class  $\mathcal{C}$  of all events of the form  $A = \{(\xi_{t_1}, \dots, \xi_{t_n}) \in C_n\}, C_n \in \mathcal{X}^n$ , is a  $\pi$ -class. According to what was said above,  $\mathcal{D} \supseteq \mathcal{C}$ , and by Dynkin's Lemma (Lecture Note 2008.33)  $\mathcal{D} \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Theorem 15.3.** *Equality (15.6) holds for every event  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\geq 0}$ .*

**Proof.** Our statement means two things: that  $P_{\xi_h}(A)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_h$ -measurable; and that for every event  $B \in \mathcal{F}_h$

$$P(B \cap \theta_h^{-1}A) = E(I_B \cdot P_{\xi_h}(A)). \quad (15.7)$$

By Theorem 15.2 the function  $P_x(A)$  is  $\mathcal{X}$ -measurable; the random variable  $\xi_h$  is  $\mathcal{F}_h$ -measurable since  $\xi_t$  is adapted to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ ; so the first statement holds.

Both sides in (15.7) are measures as functions of  $A$ ; they coincide on the algebra of events  $A$  of the form  $A = \{(\xi_{t_1}, \dots, \xi_{t_n}) \in C_n\}$ , so they coincide on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by this algebra, which is  $\mathcal{F}_{\geq 0}$ .

Now we can prove an interesting result being a form of a 0–1 law:

**Theorem 15.3.** *Let  $\xi_t$  be a Markov process with respect to the family of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq t+}$  (we know that if an  $(\mathcal{F}_{\leq t})$ -Markov process satisfies the conditions of Theorem 14.4, it is a Markov process with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_{\leq t+})$ ). Then for every event  $A$  belonging to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq 0+}$  (i. e. whose occurrence or non-occurrence can be found out on observations of the process in an arbitrarily small time interval  $[0, \delta]$ ) and every  $x \in X$  we have either  $P_x(A) = 0$ , or  $P_x(A) = 1$ .*

For different  $x$  the probabilities  $P_x(A)$  may be different.

This is called the *local zero–one law*: the 0–1 law that we considered in Lecture 2008.11 was about  $\sigma$ -algebras defined as the limit as something goes to  $\infty$ , while

here  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq 0^+}$  is defined as the limit of the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\leq s}$  as  $s$  goes from the right to the time point 0.

**Proof.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\leq 0^+}$ . Of course, it follows from this that  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{[0, \infty)}$ . Let us apply formula (15.7) with  $h = 0$ ,  $B = A$ , and the probability measure  $P_x$  as  $P$ . We have  $\theta_0^{-1}A = A$ , of course ( $\theta_0$  is the identity mapping). So we have:

$$P_x(A) = P_x(A \cap A) = E_x(I_A \cdot P_{\xi_0}(A)) = E_x(I_A \cdot P_x(A)) = P_x(A)^2. \quad (15.8)$$

This quadratic equation has only two solutions:  $P_x(A) = 0$  and  $P_x(A) = 1$ .

Note that for this theorem we don't need the above requirement about the operators  $\theta_h$ , because for  $h = 0$  we just take instead of  $\theta_h^{-1}A$  the event  $A$  itself. The  $\theta_h$ -requirement was introduced in advance for some future results, not for Theorem 15.3.

Let us look at an application of the zero-one law to the Wiener process  $\xi_t$ . As the event  $A$  we take

$$A_+ = \{\text{there exists a } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \xi_t \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta\}. \quad (15.9)$$

Clearly this event belongs to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{0^+}$  (see Example 14.4, where, it's true, the time  $t = 2$  was considered instead of  $t = 0$ , and  $\xi_2$  instead of just 0). By Theorem 15.3, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$  we have  $P_x(A_+) = 0$  or  $P_x(A_+) = 1$ . Clearly  $P_x(A_+) = 1$  for  $x > 0$ , and  $P_x(A_+) = 0$  for  $x < 0$  (make a picture of a continuous trajectory starting from the point  $x > 0$ , and of one starting from a point  $x < 0$ ). But what will be for  $x = 0$ ?

Let us consider also the event

$$A_- = \{\text{there exists a } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \xi_t \leq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta\}. \quad (15.10)$$

Of course, by symmetry of the Wiener process (the transition density  $p(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(y-x)^2/2t}$  doesn't change if we change  $x$  to  $-x$  and  $y$  to  $-y$ ) we have that the probabilities  $P_0(A_+)$  and  $P_0(A_-)$  are the same.

We have:

$$P_0(A_+ \cup A_-) \leq 1. \quad (15.11)$$

But are the events  $A_+$  and  $A_-$  disjoint? No, we have:

$$A_+ \cap A_- = \{\text{there exists a } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \xi_t = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta\} = \bigcup_{\delta > 0} \bigcap_{t \in [0, \delta]} \{\xi_t = 0\}. \quad (15.12)$$

Unfortunately, the unions and the intersection here are uncountable. But this is easily helped: the events  $\bigcap_{t \in [0, \delta]} \{\xi_t = 0\}$  clearly increase as  $\delta$  decreases, so we can rewrite the union of intersections as

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{t \in [0, 1/m]} \{\xi_t = 0\}. \quad (15.13)$$

Still the intersection is an uncountable one; but this can be helped using the fact that the trajectories of the Wiener process are continuous:

$$A_+ \cap A_- = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{\text{rational } t \in [0, 1/m]} \{\xi_t = 0\}. \quad (15.14)$$

The intersection is not greater than any of the intersecants, so

$$P_0\left(\bigcap_{\text{rational } t \in [0, 1/m]} \{\xi_t = 0\}\right) \leq P_0\{\xi_{1/m} = 0\} = \int_{\{0\}} p(1/m, 0, y) dy = 0; \quad (15.15)$$

and

$$P_0(A_+ \cap A_-) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_0\left(\bigcap_{\text{rational } t \in [0, 1/m]} \{\xi_t = 0\}\right) = 0. \quad (15.16)$$

So we have, by (15.11) and (15.16):

$$P_0(A_+ \cup A_-) = P_0(A_+) + P_0(A_-) - P_0(A_+ \cap A_-) = 2P_0(A_+) \leq 1, \quad P_0(A_+) \leq 1/2, \quad (15.17)$$

from which it follows that

$$P_0(A_+) = P_0(A_-) = 0. \quad (15.18)$$

So almost all trajectories starting from 0 do not preserve the same sign in a small interval to the right of  $t = 0$  (as it is with the functions we are accustomed to), but change their sign infinitely many times in every small right neighborhood of this point. Draw a picture of such a trajectory.